

## **EFFICACY OF garlic, eucalyptus and neem POWDERS ON RUMEN MODULATION, METHANOGENESIS AND GAS PRODUCTION KINETICS IN WHEAT STRAW BASED DIET EVALUATED *in vitro***

**Kumar S.S., Navneet G., Mehta M., Mohini M., Pandey P., Shete S. and Brisketu K.**  
*Nutrition Biotechnology Lab, Dairy Cattle Nutrition Division, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, 132001-Haryana, (INDIA)*

*Corresponding Author: sirohisk@gmail.com*

The present study was carried out with the objectives to evaluate the effect of different plant parts on methane production as rumen fermentation modulators and gas production kinetics by using *in vitro* gas production technique. Garlic (*Allium sativum*, T<sub>1</sub>) Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globules*, T<sub>2</sub>) and Neem (*Azadiracta indica*, T<sub>3</sub>) plant part powders were used for the evaluation for their inhibitory action on methane production, rumen fermentation and gas production kinetics on three type of wheat straw based diets i.e. high fiber diet (HFD), medium fiber diet (MFD) and low fiber diet (LFD). Methane was estimated by Gas Chromatography and results indicated that the maximum (51.96%) methane reduction was found on the supplementation of garlic powder in HFD as compared to that of control diet. Partition factor, microbial biomass, acetate to propionate ratio and gas production (b) was increased due to the addition of garlic powder. Garlic powder also showed the significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) reduction in protozoa number in all type of diets.

**KEY WORDS:** Plants powder, Methane, IVDMD, *In vitro* gas production technique, Gas production kinetics.

---

Atmospheric concentration of green house gases (GHGs) increases due to the increasing of global human population, unlimited use of fossil fuels, urbanization etc. Other than human activities, ruminant livestock have been recognized as a major contributor to greenhouse gases (Steinfeld et al., 2006). Livestock account for mainly 80% of all emissions from the agricultural

sector. In ruminants, some end product of rumen fermentation process such as methane and ammonia are energetically wasteful and harmful and also cause ecological problems because methane is a potent greenhouse gas (NRC 1992); the global warming potential of methane is 21-times that of CO<sub>2</sub> over 100 years (UNFCCC, 2007). Methane emission from ruminants reduced the efficiency of nutrient utilization and about 2 to 12% energy was wasted in terms of gross energy intake (Jonson and Jonson, 1995).

Many ionophores, antibiotics have been used to improve the rumen fermentation (Nagaraja, 1995), improving the some end product (propionate) and decreasing the total amount of methane (Stanier and Davies, 1981). However, the use of antibiotics as a feed additive in ruminants has been banned in the European Union, Since, January 2006, due to the risk of its residue in animal products (e.g.: milk and meat) and its subsequent effects on human health (Russell and Houlihan, 2003). Therefore, safe and cost effective new alternatives are needed to maintain efficient animal production systems. Plant extract and plant parts contained high concentration of secondary compounds and can be used as a safe means of ruminal fermentation modulators (Teferedegne 2000). The present experiment was planned to see the effect of three herbal plant parts i.e. Garlic (*Allium sativum*) Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globules*) and Neem (*Azadiracta indica*) on rumen fermentation and methane reduction under *in vitro* conditions.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Procedure of plant powder preparation**

The tested herbal plant parts i.e., Garlic bulbs (*Allium sativum*, T<sub>1</sub>) was purchased from local vegetable market and Eucalyptus leaves (*Eucalyptus globules*, T<sub>2</sub>) and Neem (*Azadiracta indica*, T<sub>3</sub>) leaves were manually collected from National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, India. The plants materials were dried over night in hot air oven at 70°C and ground in mills to pass a 1 mm sieve and store in an air tight container. Finely grounded plant parts were used as a supplement.

#### **Preparation of diets**

To evaluate the effect of T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> three diets were prepared by taking different roughage and concentrate ratio i.e. high fiber diet (HFD, 60R:40C), medium fiber diet (MFD, 50R:50C) and low fiber diet (LFD, 40R:60C) and milled to pass through 1 mm sieve and used as substrate. The roughage part composed of wheat straw and the concentrate part composed of maize (33%), groundnut cake (21%), mustard cake (12%), wheat bran (20%), de-oiled rice bran (11%), mineral mixture (2%) and salt (1%) respectively.

#### **Treatments and experimental design**

2% (DM basis) of each treatment were added to the different wheat straw based HFD, MFD and LFD diets. All the treatment combinations were arranged in 4 × 3 factorial designs with three replicates. Set was also incubated devoid of substrate with and without powders which served as blanks for particular treatment and values were corrected for different parameters with these blanks.

#### **Preparation of inoculums and *in vitro* gas production**

Rumen liquor was collected after manual mixing of rumen contents from a fistulated mature male buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) maintained on a standard diet (60 parts roughage: 40 parts concentrate) before morning feeding into a pre-warmed insulated flask and brought into the laboratory. The rumen liquor filtered through four layers of muslin cloth and then the required amount of filtered rumen liquor used as a source of inoculum. The incubation medium was prepared as per previously described method (Menke and Steingass 1988). Treatments was added in

100 ml glass syringe containing 200±10 mg of milled (1mm) three type wheat straw based diets. The 30 ml incubation medium was dispensed anaerobically in each syringe. Plungers of syringes applied with petroleum jelly for smooth movement and stop any leakage. Syringes were closed using clamps and were incubated at 39 ± 0.5°C for 24 h.

#### **Estimation of methane production by gas chromatography**

Methane content in fermentation gas was determined by gas chromatography (GC) as described by (Sirohi *et. al.*, 2012) using Nucon-5765 gas chromatograph. For methane estimation, each gas sample (250µl) was manually injected using Hamilton airtight syringe. Methane content in sample was calculated by external calibration, using a certified gases mixture with 50% CH<sub>4</sub> and 50% CO<sub>2</sub> (Spantech calibration gas, Surrey, England). The peak of methane gas was identified on the basis of retention time of standard methane gas and the response factor obtained was used to calculate methane percentage in the gas sample. The methane produced from substrate during 24 hour incubation was compared for the blank values. The volume of methane produced was calculated as follows:

Methane production (ml) = Total gas produced (ml) × % methane in the sample.

#### **Rumen fermentation parameters**

TVFA concentration (mM/100 ml) in the supernatant was estimated according to prescribed method (Barnet and Reid, 1957). For the estimation of IVFA, 1 ml of the supernatant was treated with 25% metaphosphoric (4 ml) and kept for 3-4 h at ambient temperature (Erwin *et al.*, 1961). Thereafter, IVFA was estimated using gas chromatograph according to the prescribed method (Sirohi *et. al.*, 2012). Sample (2 µl) was injected through the injection port using Hamilton syringe (10 µl). Individual VFAs of the samples were identified on the basis of their retention time and their concentration (mmol) and calculated by comparing the retention time as well as the peak area of standards after deducting the corresponding blank values. For the estimation of ammonia nitrogen, the supernatant of each syringe including that of

blank was used for NH<sub>3</sub>-N estimation. Supernatant (5 ml) was mixed with 1 N NaOH (12 ml) and steam passed on this using KEL PLUS - N analyzer (Pelican, India) and the NH<sub>3</sub> evolved was collected in boric acid solution having mixed indicator and titrated against N /100 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

#### **Partitioning factor and microbial biomass yield**

The PF is calculated as the ratio of substrate truly degraded dry matter *in vitro* (mg) to the volume of gas (ml) produced by it. Substrate provides important information about partitioning of fermentation products. The MBM yield was calculated by using the degradability of substrate and gas volume and stoichiometrical factor (Blummel et al., 1997).

Microbial mass (mg) = Substrate truly degraded - (gas volume × stoichiometrical factor)

Where the stoichiometrical factor used was 2.25.

#### **Protozoa counting**

For protozoal count, one milliliter of the fermentation fluid was diluted with 1 ml of formalin (18.5% formaldehyde) and 3-4 drops of brilliant green and then incubated for 24 hours at room temperature. The stained protozoa were diluted (if needed) and counted by haemocytometer as per the prescribed method (Dehority, 1984).

#### ***In vitro* true DM degradability**

To estimate true DM degradability of feed sample of each syringe containing residues after incubation was estimated as per the prescribed method (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991).

#### **Proximate analyses and Cell wall constituents**

The proximate analysis of substrate was carried out as per the methods of AOAC (1995). The cell wall constituents of substrates were determined according to described method (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991).

#### **Gas production kinetics**

The total gas production kinetics was carried out in different plant powders incubated as per procedure mentioned above for different intervals i.e. 0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72 and 96 h. The potential gas production and rate of gas production was calculated by fitting the modified equation (Orskov *et al.*, 1979)

Regression model = Orskow without lag

Equation,  $F=b*(1-\exp(-c*x))$

#### **Statistical analysis**

Experimental data of different parameters were analyzed in factorial arrangement of randomized block design with three replicates for analysis of variance as per Snedecor and Cochran, 1968 in OPSTAT statistical software developed by Chaudhry Chran Singh Haryana Agriculture University, Hissar, Haryana, India. When the overall *F*-test was significant, differences between means and the control were declared significant at  $P \leq 0.05$  using the Fisher's-Least-Significant-Difference (Critical Difference).

## **RESULTS**

The physical and chemical composition of all the three wheat straw based diets are shown in Table 1. Results of different herbal plant powder supplementation on *in vitro* rumen fermentation pattern, *in vitro* dry matter digestibility and methanogenesis are presented in table 2 and 3.

Effect of plant powder on pH was significant for all three diets in all treatments. Maximum pH was found in T<sub>2</sub> (7.27) in HFD and minimum was in T<sub>2</sub> (7.05) in LFD. Maximum (0.16) unit variation as compared to control was found in T<sub>2</sub> in case of HFD. Digestible dry matter (mg) portion from 200 mg of feed dry matter was maximum increased i.e. 25.8, 19.5 and 25.7% on the supplementation of T<sub>2</sub> in case of HFD, MFD and LFD respectively (Table 2). While on adding T<sub>1</sub>, IVDMD did not affect significantly.

In present experiment, both partition factor (PF) and microbial biomass production (MBM in mg) were increased with the supplementation of T<sub>1</sub> (table 2). The highest increase in PF was 49.68, 28.57 and 41.17% and highest increase in MBM (mg) was 77.73, 91.13 and 120% as compared to control in HFD, MFD and LFD, respectively. A reduction in methane production (mM/gDDM) was seen on the supplementation of T<sub>1</sub>, whereas, it decreased methane 51.96, 21.86 and 20.21% in HFD, MFD and LFD diets, respectively. T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> did not show any significant reduction in methane production. A little variation in

TVFA concentration was observed in all type of diets. Results indicated that TVFA concentration either approximately same as control or decreased. Maximum reduction of

TVFA concentration (21.13%) was observed in HFD on supplementation with T<sub>2</sub>.

**Table 1: Physical and chemical composition of wheat straw based diets used as substrate**

Ingredient of diets							
Diets	g/kg on DM basis						
	Wheat straw			Concentrate			
HFD	600			400			
MFD	500			500			
LFD	400			600			
Ingredient of concentrate							
Particulars			g/kg on DM basis				
Maize			330				
Ground nut cake			210				
Mustard cake			120				
Wheat bran			200				
Deoiled rice bran			110				
Mineral mixture			20				
Salt			10				
Chemical constituents of diets (g/kg on DM basis)							
Diets	OM	CP	EE	NDF	ADF	HC	TA
HFD (60R:40C)	867.6	108.6	23.4	623.1	372.0	251.1	132.4
MFD (50R:50C)	878.4	125.3	30.4	604.5	329.5	275.0	121.6
LFD (40R:60C)	875.6	142.7	34.8	538.7	298.7	240.0	124.4

HFD=High fiber diet, MFD=Medium fiber diet, LFD=Low fiber diet, OM=Organic matter, CP=Crude protein, EE=Ether extract, NDF=Neutral detergent fiber, ADF=Acid detergent fiber, HC=Hemicelluloses, TA=Total Ash

**Table 2: IVDMD and other parameters affected by supplementation of different plant powders**

Diets	Treatments	Parameters					
		pH	IVDMD%	PF	MBM (mg)	CH <sub>4</sub> (mM/gDM)	Protozoa x10 <sup>5</sup> / ml
<b>HFD (60R:40C)</b>	Control	7.11	53.3	3.22	32.56	3.31	0.82
	T <sub>1</sub>	7.22	52.1	4.82	57.87	1.59	0.40
	T <sub>2</sub>	7.27	60.6	3.79	55.50	2.87	0.46
	T <sub>3</sub>	7.10	59.3	2.98	30.56	3.66	0.62
<b>MFD (50R:50C)</b>	Control	7.14	64.6	3.01	32.37	3.75	0.77
	T <sub>1</sub>	7.10	66.5	3.87	61.87	2.93	0.37
	T <sub>2</sub>	7.26	68.7	3.49	53.43	3.67	0.48
	T <sub>3</sub>	7.10	62.1	3.10	36.70	2.80	0.75
<b>LFD (40R:60C)</b>	Control	7.09	56.1	3.06	28.93	2.77	1.53
	T <sub>1</sub>	7.07	59.6	4.32	63.75	2.21	0.50
	T <sub>2</sub>	7.05	61.6	3.66	53.50	2.82	1.40
	T <sub>3</sub>	7.10	58.6	3.07	35.25	3.85	0.80
<b>SEM</b>	Diet	0.015	0.72	N.S.	N.S.	0.11	0.97
	Treatment	0.017	0.83	0.19	3.23	0.13	N.S
	D*T	0.030	1.44	N.S.	N.S.	0.23	0.19

T<sub>1</sub>=Garlic powder, T<sub>2</sub>=Eucalyptus powder, T<sub>3</sub>=Neem powder, HFD=High fiber diet, MFD=Medium fiber diet, LFD=Low fiber diet, IVDMD=*In vitro* dry matter digestibility, PF=Partition factor, MBM=Microbial biomass, CH<sub>4</sub>=Methane, SEM=standard error of means

Almost a decreasing trend in acetate concentration was observed in all type of diets except in LFD, whereas acetate concentration was increased (5.33%) with supplementation of T<sub>3</sub>. Maximum reduction (22.95%) in acetate concentration was noticed in HFD supplemented with T<sub>2</sub>. Same trend observed in case of propionate concentration. Results indicated that concentration of propionate was increased only with supplementation of T<sub>3</sub> in all type of diets and maximum increase (15.46%) propionate concentration was observed in HFD. Slight change in A/P ratio was observed in MFD and LFD in all treatments, while in case of HFD, it was increased. A non significant (P≤0.05) reduction in ammonia nitrogen was observed in current experiment. NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration decreased with supplementation of all treatments in HFD, MFD and LFD and the maximum reduction (13.15%) was found in LFD with T<sub>2</sub>. In present study, a significant (P≤0.05) reduction in protozoal numbers was observed with the supplementation of

T<sub>1</sub> and maximum reduction i.e. 67.32, 51.94 and 51.00% was found in LFD, MFD and HFD, respectively.

Results related to gas kinetics of wheat straw based HFD, MFD and LFD due to plant powder supplementation presented in table 4. It was observed from the results that gas production (b) values were increased due to supplementation of all powders in all diets. The increase in b values was highest in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> in case of HFD, MFD and LFD and in case of T<sub>1</sub>, the increase was highest (38.81%) in HFD, while, in case of T<sub>3</sub> increase was (18.66%) in MFD as compared to control. Similarly, gas production rate constant (c) also increased in almost all the treatments in comparison to control diet with high, medium and low fiber wheat straw based diets.

## DISCUSSION

Methane is a potent green house gas, 15 to 20% of the global production of methane by ruminants (Crutzen et al., 1986) and its production in rumen nutritionally wasteful

process which represents 2 to 15% feed energy loss (Moss, 1993).

**Table 3: Volatile fatty acid and ammonia nitrogen affected by supplementation of different plant powders**

Diets	Treatments	Parameters					
		TVFA (mM/100ml)	Acetate (mM/100ml)	Propionate (mM/100ml)	Butyrate (mM/100ml)	A:P	NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/100 ml)
<b>HFD (60R:40C)</b>	<b>Control</b>	6.31	5.01	0.97	0.32	5.16	20.53
	<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	5.25	4.35	0.67	0.22	6.47	18.10
	<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	4.85	3.86	0.75	0.26	5.29	18.01
	<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	6.10	4.66	1.12	0.31	4.17	20.25
<b>MFD (50R:50C)</b>	<b>Control</b>	6.15	4.80	1.03	0.31	4.71	22.68
	<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	6.30	4.83	1.15	0.31	4.22	21.65
	<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	5.90	4.55	1.07	0.35	4.28	22.61
	<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	6.10	4.62	1.13	0.34	4.09	23.61
<b>LFD (40R:60C)</b>	<b>Control</b>	6.43	4.69	1.33	0.40	3.64	23.42
	<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	6.11	4.52	1.27	0.32	3.55	21.93
	<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	6.15	4.54	1.26	0.35	3.66	20.34
	<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	6.83	4.94	1.43	0.45	3.45	20.53
<b>SEM</b>	<b>Diet</b>	0.14	N.S	0.04	0.01	0.14	0.35
	<b>Treatment</b>	0.16	0.12	0.04	0.01	0.17	0.41
	<b>D*T</b>	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	0.29	0.71

T<sub>1</sub>=Garlic powder, T<sub>2</sub>=Eucalyptus powder, T<sub>3</sub>=Neem powder, HFD=High fiber diet, MFD=Medium fiber diet, LFD=Low fiber diet, TVFA=Total Volatile Fatty Acids, A: P= Acetate to Propionate Ratio, NH<sub>3</sub>-N= Ammonia Nitrogen, SEM=standard error of means

**Table 4: Kinetics of gas production as affected by supplementation of different plant powders**

	Treatment	Gas kinetics parameters		
		b	C	R <sup>2</sup>
<b>HFD (60R:40C)</b>	<b>Control</b>	32.90	0.05	0.995
	<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	45.67	0.08	0.996
	<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	35.41	0.06	0.994
	<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	37.04	0.07	0.998
<b>MFD (50R:50C)</b>	<b>Control</b>	36.64	0.06	0.998
	<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	40.44	0.09	0.995
	<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	39.41	0.08	0.982
	<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	43.48	0.08	0.996
<b>LFD (40R:60C)</b>	<b>Control</b>	42.91	0.09	0.993
	<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	46.65	0.10	0.993
	<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	42.91	0.07	0.979
	<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	49.15	0.08	0.988

HFD=High fiber diet, MFD=Medium fiber diet, LFD=Low fiber diet, b=Potential gas production (ml), c=Gas Production Rate Constant (ml/h),  $R^2$ =Regression Coefficient

Due to the safe, cost effective and easy availability, plant parts or plants extract are used for the rumen manipulation. Methane production (mM/gDDM) either not affected due to T<sub>2</sub> or T<sub>3</sub> supplementation or decrease by T<sub>1</sub> (Garlic powder) supplementation. This tendency to reduced methane formation by T<sub>1</sub> (Garlic powder) was not surprising because the organo-sulphur compounds of garlic oil could inhibit rumen methanogenic archaea by inhibiting the enzyme HMG-CoA reductase (Busquet *et al.* 2005a, b, 2006; Kamel and Greathead 2008; Kamra *et al.* 2012).

In current study, IVDMD is significantly affected due to the supplementation of herbal plant powders and significant increase was seen on T<sub>2</sub> inclusion. This finding is in accordance with Busquet *et al.* (2005a), Yang *et al.* (2007) and Kongmun *et al.* (2010). The PF of the diets is an index of microbial biomass synthesis efficiency (Blummel *et al.* 1997) and the diet formulation to achieve higher PF would mean aiming for higher microbial biomass synthesis *in vivo* (Blummel *et al.* 2003). In present experiment, we observed that, both PF and MBM was increased on inclusion with herbal plant parts and highest increased was seen on T<sub>1</sub> addition. Decrease in TVFA, acetate concentration (mM/100 ml) slight change in propionate concentration and decrease in A:P ratio was observed in supplementation with herbal plant powder, which is in accordance with previous study done by Patra *et al.* 2006 and Hristov *et al.*, 2003. Protozoa concentration was significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) reduced in all diets on supplementation with T<sub>1</sub> (Garlic powder). Hence, it is clearly demonstrated that active ingredients present in garlic powder could affect on growth of protozoa as also reported by Busquet *et al.*, (2005a), Busquet *et al.*, (2005b) and Kongmun *et al.* (2010).

## CONCLUSION

In present study, it was concluded that 2% garlic powder emerged out as a promising

candidate of supplementation in wheat straw based diet than the other herbal plant powders in HFD, MFD and LFD without any adverse affect for effective mitigation of methane under *in vitro* condition.

## REFERENCES

1. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1995) Official Methods of Analysis. 16th ed., Arlington, VA. AOAC.
2. Barnet A.J.G. & Reid R.L. (1957) Studies on production of volatile fatty acids from grass by rumen liquor in artificial rumen IVFA production from fresh grass. Journal of Agriculture Science, 48, 315.
3. Blummel M., Karsli A. & Russel J.R. (2003) Influence of diet on growth yields of rumen microorganisms *in vitro* and *in vivo*: influence on growth yields of variable carbon fluxes to fermentation products. British Journal of Nutrition, 90, 1–11.
4. Blummel M., Makkar H.P.S. & Becker K. (1997) *In vitro* gas production: a technique revisited. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition, 77, 24–34.
5. Busquet M., Calsamiglia S., Ferret A. & Kamel C. (2006). Plant extracts affect *in vitro* rumen microbial fermentation. Journal of Dairy Science, 89, 761-771.
6. Busquet M., Calsamiglia S., Ferret A., Carro M.D. & Kamel C. (2005b) Effect of garlic oil and four of its compounds on rumen microbial fermentation. Journal of Dairy Science, 88, 4393–4404.
7. Busquet M., Calsamiglia S., Ferret A., Carro M.D. & Kamel C. (2005a) Effects of cinnamaldehyde and garlic oil on rumen microbial fermentation in a dual flow continuous culture. Journal of Dairy Science, 88, 2508–2516.

8. Crutzen P.J., Aselmann I. & Seiler W. (1986) Methane production by domestic animals, wild ruminants, other herbivorous fauna and humans. *Tellus*. 388:271-284.
9. Dehority B.A. (1984) Evaluation of subsampling and fixation procedures used for counting rumen protozoa. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 48, 182-185.
10. Erwin E.S., Macro G.A. & Emery E.M. (1961) Volatile fatty acid analysis of blood and rumen fluid by Gas chromatography. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 44, 1768-1771.
11. Hristov A.N., Ivan M., Neill L. & McAllister T.A. (2003) Evaluation of several potential bioactive agents for reducing protozoal activity *in vitro*. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 105, 163–184.
12. Johnson K.A. & Johnson D.E. (1995) Methane emissions from cattle. *Journal of Animal Science*, 73, 2483–2492.
13. Kamel C. & Greathead H. (2008). Garlic and cinnamon for a healthy rumen. *Feed Mix 15 nr. 4*. Available from: <http://www.allaboutfeed.net> [Accessed December 2009].
14. Kamra D.N., Agarwal N., Sakthivel P.C. & Chaudhary L.C. (2012) Garlic as a rumen modifier for eco-friendly and economic livestock production. *Journal of Applied Animal Research* 40, (2): 1-7.
15. Kongmun P., Wanapat M., Pakdee P. & Navanukraw C. (2010) Effect of coconut oil and garlic powder on *in vitro* fermentation using gas production technique. *Livestock science* 1, 38-44.
16. Menke K.H. & Steingass H. (1988) Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained by chemical analysis and *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid. *Animal Research* 28, 7–55.
17. Moss A.R. (1993) Methane: global warming and production by animals. Chalcombe Publications, Kingston, UK.
18. Nagaraja T.G. (1995) Ionophores and antibiotics in ruminants. In: Wallace, R.J., Chesson, A. (Eds.), *Biotechnology in Animal Feeds and Animal Feeding*. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Weinheim, Germany, 173–204.
19. NRC: Policy Implications of Greenhouse Warming: Mitigation, Adaptation, and the Science Base. National Academy Press, Washington, DC. 1992.
20. Orskov E.R. & McDonald I. (1979) The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 92, 499-503.
21. Patra A.K., Kamra D.N. & Agarwal N. (2006) Effect of plant extracts on *invitro* methanogenesis, enzyme activities and fermentation of feed in rumen liquor of buffalo. *Animal Feed science and Technology*, 128: 276-291.
22. Russell J.B. & Houlihan A.J. (2003) Ionophore resistance of ruminal bacteria and its potential impact on human health. *FEMS Microbiology Review*, 27, (1): 65-74.
23. Sirohi S.K., pandey P. & Goel N. (2012) Response of Fumaric Acid Addition on Methanogenesis, Rumen Fermentation and Dry Matter Degradability in Diets Containing Wheat Straw and Sorghum or Berseem as Roughage Source. *ISRN Veterinary Science* doi:10.5402/2012/496801.
24. Snedecor G.W. & W.G. Cochran (1968) *Statistical Methods*, 5th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. I.A.
25. Stanier G. & Davies A. (1981) Effects of antibiotic monensin and an inhibitor of methanogenesis on *in vitro* continuous rumen fermentation. *British Journal of animal Nutrition*, 45, 567–578.
26. Steinfeld H., Gerber P., Wassenaar T., Castel V., Rosales M. & de Haan C. (2006) *Livestock's long shadow: environmental issues and options*. FAO, Rome, Italy.



- 
27. Teferedegne B. (2000) New perspectives on the use of tropical plants to improve ruminant nutrition. *Proceeding of the Nutrition Society*, 59, 209–214.
28. UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) (2007) [http://unfccc.int/ghg\\_emissions\\_data/information\\_on\\_data\\_sources/global\\_warming\\_potentials/items/3825.php](http://unfccc.int/ghg_emissions_data/information_on_data_sources/global_warming_potentials/items/3825.php).
29. Van Soest P.J., Robertson J.B. & Lewis B.A. (1991) Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 74, 3583–3597.
30. Yang W.Z., Benchaar C., Ametaj B.N., Chaves A.V., He M.L. & McAllister T.A. (2007) Effects of garlic and juniper berry essential oils on ruminal fermentation and on the site and extent of digestion in lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90, 5671–5681.