# PROFITABILITY OF BEEKEEPING USING LOCALLY MADE TRANSITIONAL TOP BAR BEE HIVE

#### Wongelu Endale Gobena

Holeta Bee Research Center, Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Oromia Region, Ethiopia

Corresponding author:- wongelu2016@yahoo.com

Beekeeping is one of the livelihood sources in most developing countries and plays a valuable part in improving rural livelihoods. It is an important income-generating activity which can be possible to integrate with other agricultural activity. The main objective of this study was to assess the profitability of beekeeping using locally made transitional top bar hive in the study area. As to method, two stage sampling procedure was followed to select rural Village Administrations and households for the study. Four Village Administrations were randomly selected and stratified sampling technique was employed to stratify respondents in to users and non users of locally made transitional top bar bee hive technology. A total of 120, 40 users and 80 non users, respondents were randomly probability selected using sampling technique. Structured interview, focus group discussion and personal observation of sites used to gather primary data from respondents. Secondary data collected from relevant sources such as Woreda livestock articles office. research and internet. Collected data analyzed using descriptive statistics and partial budgeting. The partial budgeting result reveals that beekeeping is profitable by using locally made transitional top bar bee hive. It shows that the incremental net benefit of 462.12 ETB and the beekeepers increased their benefit from the hive by more than 2.9 fold by using this bee hive compared to traditional hive. The study concludes beekeeping could be an effective business for the marginal farmers who have little business capital and land resource. Moreover, income from a single bee colony at beekeeper's backyard can be improved with minimum cost if locally made transitional bee hive with its package used. The overall finding of this study

mainly underlined the importance of extension support and technical back to the beekeepers to use this hive.

**Keywords:** Beekeeping, Bee hive, locally made, profitable, transitional top bar

# **Statement of the Problem**

Beekeeping is one of the livelihood sources in most developing countries and plays a valuable part in improving rural livelihoods. Its success can be noted in countries like Ethiopia (Mazorodze, 2015). Many studies show importance of beekeeping from different aspects. It can be viewed as a means of eradicating poverty (Goldenberg, 2004; Mickels, 2006; Ogaba, 2007; Lalika, 2009; Ayansola, 2012). Others have shown that beekeeping practices as an important income-generating activity, employment and tourism (Joni,2004; Workineh, 2007; Ajao Oladimeji, 2013; Chazovachii et. and al.,2012 Qaiser et.al., 2013; Wongelu,2014). Others demonstrated as it plays major role in natural resource management and ecosystem service via pollination (Chazovachii et. al.,2013; Ndegwa, 2014) and other studies demonstrated investment costs are relatively low being less than 50% of the income generated, making beekeeping a thriving business that can contribute invaluably to a household income (Saha, 2002; Bradbear, 2009; Ndegwa, 2014; Wongelu, 2017).

Ethiopia has large agricultural resource and potential of producing over 500,000 tones of honey per year and the annual production of honey and beeswax is low compared to its potential (Ethiopian Apiculture Board [EAB], 2016]. This is due to the reason that more than 95% of our beekeepers use traditional hive management practices which affect yield. This results in traditional production system which result in low production and productivity, poor pre and post harvest processing and handling techniques and practices combined with poor marketing efforts has kept it part of the subsistent sector (Meaza, 2010). In most cases Ethiopian beekeepers are observed to use traditional hives which is very difficult to manage honeybees and to produce honey and honey products in the required quality and quantity .The maximum yield obtained from a traditional beehive so far is estimated on average to be below 7 kg /hive (Nuru, 2004). However, it has been observed as more than 15kg /hive crude honey can be produced if top-bar hive is used (Nuru, 2004). Locally made transitional top bar bee hive is important for our farmers as it is extremely inexpensive and equally important as that of machine made top bar hives [Melaku (2005), Workineh (2007), Wongelu (2014, 2017)]. A study conducted by Wongelu (2017) also shows honey vield which ranges from 10.25kg/hive/season to 37kg/hives/season harvested using this hive. Profitability of beekeeping business is influenced by type of used, ecological condition, colony strength and management practices (Tucak et al., 2004; Al-Ghamdi, A.A. et. al., 2017). Therefore, the main objective of this study is to assess the profitability of beekeeping using locally made transitional top bar hive in the study area.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Description of the Study Area and Period**

The study was conducted in Wolmera Woreda, Oromia Special Zone Surrounding Finfinne,Oromia Region, Ethiopa from 2012-2013. Detail description on study area presented below.

#### Wolmera Woreda

It is one of the Woredas in Oromia Special Zone Surrounding Finfinne, Oromia region. It is about 30 km away in West of Addis Ababa along the Ambo rode at  $9^{0}02N$  and  $38^{0}$  34E. Altitude ranges from 2000-3380 m.a.s.l. (Bureau of Agriculture [BoA], 2013). The Woreda is bounded in the North by Sululta Woreda, in the South by Sebeta Awas Woreda, in the West by Burayu city

administration and in the East by Ejere Woreda. The Woreda is classified in to two agro climatic zones namely Dega 61%, Woynadega 39 % (BoA, 2013). The area is characterized by mean annual rainfall of 1067mm and mean temperature of  $18^{\circ}$ c. The main rain season is from the months of June to September which accounts for 70% rainfall while the remained 30% is from February to April (BoA, 2013). The Woreda has a total population of 146,227 of which 72,301(49.4%) are males and 73,926(50.6%) are females. In term of area residence 100,857(68%) population has been living in the rural areas while 45,370(31%) has been living in the urban centers (BoA, 2013). Crop- livestock mixed farming system characterizes agriculture in the Woreda. The major crops in the farming system are wheat, teff, barley, and faba bean. In the Woreda, about 3.566 hives exist out of which about 1853 was traditional. 870 transitional and 843 box hives (BoA, 2013).

#### **Method of Data Collection**

The study used both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data collected from sample households using structured interview schedule, personal observation of sites and group discussion. Secondary data which support primary data was collected from different sources like journals, research articles, internet and concerned offices.

# Method of Data Analysis

In order to perform profitability analysis, major production costs for both traditional and locally made transitional beehive types are considered. The analysis was done to arrive at per hive net return from both types of hive. Based on the survey data, the costs of production and returns at the prevailing prices were used to estimate the benefits. This section aims at identifying and quantifying the different costs, which are incurred by the beekeepers in production Bee hive, bee colony. process. supplementary feed, labor, transport cost, depreciation cost on bee hives and interest on input costs were the cost items that needed to run locally made transitional top bar and traditional bee hive honey production. Honey yield was the benefit for the both types of bee hives.

Profitability analysis of each bee hive type was determined using the following formula shown below. Simple descriptive statistics farm budget techniques and Gross Return analysis frequency, percentages and tables were utilized. The farm income model is as shown:

NI = GR - TC

Where: NI = Net Income for honey production.

GR = Gross Returns to honey production (the income from honey sale)

TC = Total production cost (direct expenses and purchases for the beekeeping activities).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Profitability of the Hive**

Yield is an important determinant factor in adopting the technology. The higher the vield obtained from the introduced technology easier it is to convince the farmers to adopt the technology. In order to compare the performances of the locally made transitional top bar and traditional bee hive yield, the cost and net returns obtained from sampled respondents were recorded and compared. The analysis was done to arrive at per hive net return from both types of bee hive. As shown on the table one below, hive, bee colony, supplementary feed, labor and transport costs were the cost

items that needed to run locally made transitional and traditional bee hive honey production and categorized under column one, category of cost. Based on the data collected from sampled beekeepers, hive cost and service life for the hives were on average 435.00 Ethiopian birr (ETB) and 10 years for locally made transitional and 20 ETB and 5 years for traditional hive (1USD=18.2226 ETB on average in January 2013). The average price paid to purchase bee colony was 450.00ETB at current market (2013), the commercial life for the honey bee colony was assumed to be 5 years and this cost was common for both type of hives. Labor cost was calculated based on hours spent in beekeeping for both types of bee hive per a month, summed for a year and converted to Birr which was 35.00 ETB for daily laborer. Similarly, feed cost and transport cost was calculated based on cost spent for the items divided by number of bee hives and interest 5% was added on total costs.

On the other hand, honey yield was the benefit for the both types of bee hives and categorized under column two, return. To get the total revenue from each type of hive, honey yield obtained in the course of the year was multiplied by selling price. In the study area, the average honey yield per

	Column 1		Column 2		
Added cost (Birr)	locally made	Traditional	Additional return	locally made	Traditional
	transitional	hive	(Birr)	transitional	bee hive
	bee hive			bee hive	
Hive cost	45.0	4	Honey yield	799.85	312.65
			Total added return		
Colony cost	90.0	90.0		799.85	312.65
Supplementary	2.76	1.91			
feed					
Labor cost	27.75	46.63			
Transport cost to	3.87	2.74			
market					
Interest	8.47	7.49			
Total costs of	177.85	152.77	Total return from	799.85	312.65
production			sell of honey		

 Table 1. Partial budget for locally made transitional and traditional bee hive

Source: own data computation, 2013

Net income from locally made transitional top bar bee hive (799.85-177.85=622.00 ETB) Net income from traditional bee hive (312.65 - 152.77=159.88 ETB)

Incremental net benefit of locally made transitional top bar bee hive is (622.00 -155.99=462.12 ETB)

annum for traditional and locally made transitional top bar bee hive was 9.41kg and 4.81kg, respectively. It is below the national average which is 10-15 kg and 7kg respectively (Nuru, 2004). The price of one kilogram honey from locally made transitional top bar and traditional bee hive was 65 and 85 birr, respectively. The price difference was due to quality of honey harvested from the bee hives.

The partial budgeting result reveals that beekeeping is profitable by using locally made transitional bee hive. Table one also summarizes that the incremental net benefit of locally made transitional bee hive 462.12 ETB. This shows that the beekeepers increased their benefit from locally made transitional bee hive more than 2.9 fold compared to traditional hive. Melaku (2005) also came with similar conclusion in his study using partial budgeting analysis that timber made Kenyan top bar hive was beneficial and remunerative.

# CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

As to conclusion, beekeeping as a business is comparatively less expensive income generating activity. It could be an effective business for the marginal farmers who have little business capital and land resource. Income from a single bee colony at beekeeper's backyard can be improved with minimum cost if locally made transitional top bar bee hive with its package used. The overall finding of this study mainly underlined the importance of extension support and technical back to the beekeepers in using this hive.

# REFERENCES

- Al-Ghamdi, A.A. et. Al., 2017. Comparative analysis of profitability of honey production using traditional and box hives. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.201 7.01.007
- Ajao, A.M. and Oladimeji, Y. U., 2013. Assessment of Contribution of Apicultural Practices to Household Income and Poverty

Alleviation in Kwara State, Nigeria, International journal of science and nature, 4 (4) 2013: pp 687-698.

- Ayansola, A.A., 2012, An Appraisal of Apicultural Practices in Southwestern Nigeria. Journal Agricultural Science, 3(2): Pp 79-84.
- Bradbear, N. (2009). Bees and their role in forest livelihoods: A guide to the services provided by bees and the sustainable harvesting processing and marketing of their products. Retrieved from Food and Agriculture Organization: http://www.fao.org/docrep/006/y51 10e/y5110e02.htm
- Bureau of Agriculture, 2013. Annual report of Agricultural activities of Wolmera Woreda. Holeta. Unpublished document. Holeta, Ethiopia.
- Chazovachii, B., Chuma, M., Mushuku, A., Chirenje, L., Chitongo, L., & Mudyariwa, R., 2013. Livelihood Resilient Strategies through beekeeping in Chitanga village, Mwenezi District, Zimbabwe. Sustainable Agriculture Research, 2(1), 124-132. Retrieved April 18, 2016, from http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstre am/231342/2/p124%28124-132%29.pdf
- 7.
- Ethiopian Apiculture Board (2016). Some facts about the sector. (http://www.ethiopian apiculture board) Accessed September 9, 2016.
- 9. Goldenberg, D. (2004). Honey Gospel: Beekeeping converts poachers in Zambia. Wildlife Conservation 107 (2): 34-37.
- 10. Lalika, M. C. S. (2009) Beekeeping for Income Generation and Costal Forest Conservation in Tanzania. Bees for Development Journal, Vol. 88. Pp 4 – 6
- 11. Joni, V. (2004). The Possibilities and Constraints of Improving Livelihoods through Apicultural

Development in Ranomafana National Park in Madagascar, University of Helsinki.

- Mazorodze Brian T., (2015). The contribution of apiculture towards rural income in Honde valley, Zimbabwe. Presented at the National Capacity Building Strategy for Sustainable Development and Poverty Alleviation Conference, May 26-28, 2015, American University in the Emirates, Dubai.
- Meaza Gebreyohannes, 2010. Socio-Economic Analysis of Market Oriented Beekeeping in Atsbi Wemberta District of Eastern Zone, Tigray Region. MA thesis, Mekelle University, Ethiopia.
- 14. Melaku G (2005). Adoption and profitability of Kenyan top bar hive beekeeping technology: A study in Ambasel Woreda. MSc. Thesis. Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia
- 15. Mickels, G. (2006) Small-scale Woodland-based Enterprises with outstanding Economic Potential: the Case of Honey, National Library of Indonesia Cataloging-in Publication Data.
- 16. Nuru A and Edessa N (2004). Construction of Top Bar Hives from Locally Available Materials and Evolution of the Efficiency of these Hives. 13th Proceeding of Ethiopian Society of Animal Production.
- Ndegwa,2014. Beekeeping microenterprises and unemployment in Nyatike constituency, migori county,

Kenya. MSc. Thesis. University of Nairobi, Kenya.

- Ogaba, M. (2007) Household Poverty Reduction through Beekeeping among Uganda Rural Women. Beekeeping for rural development, Draft Report. 5 Pp.
- Qaiser T., Murad A., Sajida T. and Nadeem A., 2013. Impact assessment of beekeeping in sustainable rural livelihood. Journal of Social Sciences, COES&RJ-JSS, 2(2), pp. 82-90
- Saha, C, J. (2002).Beekeeping for Rural Development, Its Potentiality and Beekeeping against Poverty – Bangladesh Perspective, Standing Commission of Beekeeping for Rural Development.
- Tucak, Z., Perispic M., Beslo D and Tucak I., 2004. Influence of the beehive type on the quality of honey. Collegium Antropologicum 28: 463–467
- 22. Wongelu E (2014). Adoption of Transitional Locally made Bee Hive Package: The Case of Wolmera Woreda. MSc. Thesis. Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia.
- 23. Wongelu, 2017. Pre-Scaling up of Transitional Locally Made Bee Hive Technology Package in West Shoa Zone, Oromia Region, Ethiopia. Journal of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development, Vol. 5(2), February, 2017.
- 24. Workneh A (2007). Financial Benefits of Box Hive and the Determinants of Its Adoption in Selected District of Ethiopia. Am. J. Econ. 1(1):21-29.